

Sustainable Water and Wastewater Management: Challenges, Innovations and Lessons from Global Case Studies

Anyanwu Solace Amarachi¹, **Excel Obumneme Amaefule**², **Sunny Okonkwo Agbai**³, **Chukwuebuka Owen Abuka**³, **Faith Ozioma Nwaogazie**⁴, **Philip Siminloluwa Ajamu**⁵, **Temitope Ayinde Ajamu**⁶

¹ *University of Poitiers*

15 rue de l'Hôtel Dieu, TSA 71117, 86073 POITIERS Cedex 9, France

² *SOAS University of London*

10 Thornhaugh Street, Russell Square, London, WC1H 0XG, UK

³ *Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike*

PMB 7267, Umuahia Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria

⁴ *University of Ibadan*

Oduduwa Road, 200132, Ibadan, Oyo, Nigeria

⁵ *University of Ilorin*

1515, P.M.B, Ilorin, Nigeria

⁶ *Covenant University*

10 Idiroko Road, Canaan Land, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

DOI: [10.22178/pos.96-11](https://doi.org/10.22178/pos.96-11)

LCC Subject Category: QH301-705.5

Received 31.08.2023

Accepted 28.09.2023

Published online 30.09.2023

Corresponding Author:

Excel Obumneme Amaefule

excela01@gmail.com

© 2023 The Authors. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) 

Abstract. This review article synthesises diverse research works addressing the critical issues surrounding water and wastewater management. We analysed the challenges, innovations, and valuable lessons learned from these studies, providing a holistic view of sustainable water and wastewater management practices. The paper begins by examining the challenges of population growth, climate change, pollution, and emerging contaminants. It discusses the importance of public awareness, waste disposal management, and water conservation in mitigating these challenges. Furthermore, the review explores the concept of green infrastructure in wastewater treatment, highlighting various technologies such as anaerobic digestion, membrane filtration, and nutrient recovery. It presents case studies of successful implementations of green infrastructure in water and wastewater treatment facilities, showcasing environmental, social, and economic benefits. In contrast, the paper delves into case studies of unsuccessful projects, offering insights into their failures, including improper planning, inadequate funding, weak regulatory frameworks, and insufficient governance structures.

Keywords: sustainable water management; wastewater treatment; water pollution; green infrastructure; emerging contaminants; water conservation.

INTRODUCTION

A 2021 research article reported that as the population increases (at a rate of 2.6% per annum), there will be even more demand for sufficient quality and quantity of water resources for different uses nationwide. Meanwhile, the help is readily depleting due to agents like climate

change, pollution from waste and excessive abstraction. Wastewater expert and International Water Association Global Water Award winner in 2021 Marcos von Sperling shared insights on challenges for implementing and maintaining wastewater treatment in low- and middle-income countries captured in an article by IWA.

The report stated some notable challenges, such as management and maintenance, financing and lack of adequately trained professionals, leading to poor planning, execution and monitoring. As mentioned by [25], collecting, treating and reusing wastewater for different purposes can help reduce damage to lives and the environment, and its domestic use (except for cooking and drinking) can equally support disaster risk reduction strategies. There is a need for improved rules and regulations governing water and wastewater in Nigeria.

Given the critical need to address the challenges confronting Nigeria's water and wastewater treatment infrastructure, the purpose of this paper is to make provisions for an overview of the current situation of the country's water and wastewater treatment, the challenges faced, possible solutions and advancements; policies; climate change and socioeconomic impacts. The researchers drew from relevant research papers and policy documents to recommend sustainable futures for the country's water and wastewater treatment infrastructure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Public awareness and education

Municipal education and wastewater treatment awareness are crucial to protecting our environment and the health of humans and animals. When wastewater is not treated correctly, it can pollute our water sources, damage natural habitats, and cause severe illnesses to its users; therefore, proper campaigns should be done to educate the masses on the need for appropriate disposal of waste, water conservation, and the health implication of poor water quality on both humans and animals.

Waste Disposal Management. Sighting heaps of waste dumps in Nigeria nowadays is common in urban and commercial cities. This site is very disgusting, and it's an indication of a significant problem. Waste is any substances or materials that are scrap or super, refuse or reject, disposed of, or required to be disposed of as unwanted. "Environmental law" assumes its ordinary literal meaning, unlike fundamental property law, when waste is used as a term of art, having a sense utterly different from its ordinary meaning. In Nigeria, managing waste disposal has become a primary concern. Several attempts by the government have not yielded meaningful results

[46]. Waste management is one of the striking environmental challenges in Nigeria, especially in rural areas. With its population exceeding 170 million [53], there are more plastics, papers, abandoned projects, and poorly executed road networks (without drainage). In Nigeria, enclosed drains for the disposal of domestic wastewater and rain storms are limited. In areas without drains, domestic wastewater stinks in gutters around dwelling places. Public awareness of waste disposal is essential to promote responsible waste practices and reduce the negative impact of waste on the environment and public health.

Waste management aims to minimise the unfavourable effects of rubbish on the environment and humanity and find ways to recycle the materials that are potentially harmful to the planet. However, there are limited efforts to manage waste in Nigeria, such as that from WeCyclers, which Wale Adebisi is leading. It is founded on a bottom-up approach, pyramid scheme-based, for helping low-income communities get value for their waste. Households are approached and then told the deal of their trash. They are subsequently given incentives for availing their faeces, which are then given to mega recycling companies, and they are taught the laws of the 6R.

The rules of 6R. Specific rules have been suggested as part of efforts for effective waste management.

The Rule of Rethinking. Individuals must reconsider their habits into needs or necessities/requirements. For instance, one would ask: "Is this needed now?"

The Rule of Refusing. Reject anything that would harm the environment. Countries like China, Bangladesh, and even Somalia have refused the use of plastic bag carriers by imposing a ban on them.

The Rule of Regulating. Nigerians are in the habit of throwing away waste anywhere. Many industries release or dump their waste into water bodies or open spaces. A ban law should be imposed for citizens to face a penalty when caught.

The Rule of reuse means utilising something more than once, reducing waste creation. Here, we ought to consider ways to use a product to its fullest. However, to promote waste reuse, there is a need for a clear definition of waste and, perhaps more importantly, clarity on when something ceases to be waste.

The Rule of recycling is reprocessing unwanted materials into new, valuable products or forms. Please think of how we can make new products from the old ones.

The Rule of Research. As intelligent beings, we ought to explore and develop innovative ways to reuse unwanted materials and reduce the generation of the same [33]. Notwithstanding, Nigeria's longing to achieve the sustainable development goals (SDGs) by 2030 would be an exercise in futility if the country refuses to implement strategies, practices, and processes to manage its rising waste level. Waste management is the umbrella term for the actions necessary to handle rubbish from its first collection to its disposal. It also includes the legal and regulatory frameworks for waste management issues.

Water conservation. Water conservation includes all the activities, methods, and policies to sustainably manage the natural resources of fresh water, protect the hydrosphere, and meet the current and future human demand (thus avoiding water scarcity). Population size and affluence all affect how much water is used. Climate change has increased pressures on natural water resources, especially in manufacturing and agricultural irrigation. The key activities to conserve water are as follows: a reduction in water loss, use, and waste of resources [18], avoiding any damage to water quality, and improving water management practices that reduce the usage or enhance the beneficial use of water sustainability [29].

Rainwater harvesting: Digging ponds, lakes, and canals, expanding the water reservoir, and installing rainwater catching ducts and filtration systems on homes are different rainwater methods [19]. Many people in many countries keep clean containers to boil and drink, which helps supply water to the needy.

Groundwater resource protection: Some soil goes underground whenever it rains [44]. Water in this saturation zone is called groundwater. Contamination of groundwater causes the groundwater supply to be unable to be used as a resource of fresh drinking water, and the natural regeneration of contaminated groundwater can take years to replenish [52]. Some examples of possible sources of groundwater contamination include storage tanks, septic systems, uncontrolled hazardous waste, landfills, atmospheric contaminants, chemicals, and road salts [37]. Contamination of groundwater decreases the re-

plenishment of available freshwater, so taking preventative measures to protect groundwater resources from contamination is essential to water conservation [29].

Education outreach: Developing a science strategy for land managers, policymakers, farmers, and the general public is another crucial strategy utilised in water conservation [15]. Communication of the science of how water systems work is an important aspect when creating a management plan to conserve that system and is often used to ensure the proper management plan is implemented [15].

Reduction in water usage: Contrary to the popular view that the most effective way to save water is to curtail water-using behaviour (e.g., by taking shorter showers) [2], experts suggest the most efficient method is replacing toilets and retrofitting washers, as demonstrated by two household end use logging studies in the US [17, 39].

Emerging contaminants

Emerging contaminants (ECs) are chemicals that are not currently (or have been only recently) regulated and whose existence impacts human or ecological health. In Nigeria, emerging contaminants can be divided into nine major groups, which are personal care products, pharmaceuticals, industrial chemicals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, volatile organic compounds, pesticides, mycotoxins, radionuclides and electromagnetic radiations (Gamma radiation) and other pollutants of emerging concerns such as microbes, microplastics, and particulate matters. These substances can enter the environment through wastewater discharge, negatively impacting aquatic life and human health. Its detecting method is a significant problem as data and instrumentation are scarce or at the early development stage.

Familiar sources of emerging contaminants. Significant sources of ECs are found in water bodies and underground waters, soils and sediments, biological systems, and ambient air at different concentrations with seasonal variations. Examples of emerging contaminants in the aquatic environment include small- and large-scale sewage treatment plants (STPs) from public and industrial sources and hospitals [5]. Wastewater treatment processes do not remove some of these compounds; once they enter the receiving environment, they degrade slowly in water, sed-

iment, and biofilms [23]. Some act as endocrine disruptors, β -adrenergic receptors agonist blockers, and oxidative stress inducers and can cause genetic alterations in DNA and epigenetic reprogramming through global DNA methylation, gene-specific CpG methylation and microRNA expression. Emerging contaminants also enter aquatic environments from landfill leachates, surface runoff, atmospheric deposition, and application of biosolids and manure to agricultural land [49].

Pharmaceutical And Personal Care Products. These are natural or artificial chemicals used in medicines and veterinary drugs. To understand the potential environmental level, we should consider the annual global mean consumption of pharmaceuticals of 15 g per capita, which rises to 50150 g in developed countries [59]. Nowadays, more than 3,000 known pharmaceutical compounds are produced. Of course, consumption rates vary from country to country according to national legislation and prescription lists. Pharmaceuticals and PCPs are the more frequently detected groups of ECs in surface and groundwater [31]. Among them, common substances in groundwater are anti-inflammatory drugs (ibuprofen, dexamethasone, ketoprofen, diclofenac), three antibiotics (triclosan, sulfamethoxazole, lincomycin, and partially erythromycin), five analgesics (paracetamol, ibuprofen, phenazone, propyphenazone, and salicylic acid), one anti-epileptic (carbamazepine), one barbiturate (primidone), a commonly used insecticide (DEET), an X-ray contrast agent (iopamidol) and clofibric acid (a metabolite of the lipid regulator clofibrate). Caffeine and nicotine are probably the two most commonly detected lifestyle compounds in water produced by human activities [36]. Sulfamethoxazole, ibuprofen, carbamazepine, and caffeine are more frequently seen in Europe than in the USA [31]. Other common compounds are fragrances (e.g., galactoside and tonalite) and non-steroidal pharmaceuticals such as ibuprofen, paracetamol, naproxen, diclofenac, clofibric acid, and fluoxetine [5]. It should be mentioned that this group of pharmaceuticals also contains drugs used for non-medical applications, i.e., cocaine, heroin, cannabinoids (marijuana, hashish), and amphetamine-like stimulants [7]. The veterinary drugs group includes medicines used exclusively for veterinary purposes. Examples of these drugs are sulfamethazine, which is often detected in groundwaters in Eu-

rope and the USA, as well as monensin and tylosin [31].

Pesticides. Pesticides have often been detected in groundwater at trace concentrations for many years. They can be synthetic chemicals or natural compounds. They are used in agriculture to control crops' weeds, pests, and diseases. Over the last few years, compounds such as atrazine, considered to pose a high environmental risk, have no longer been used. However, the compounds used to replace them (e.g., diuron) could also negatively impact them. Currently, a pesticide of particular interest is metaldehyde, which, in some cases, has been detected above the EU drinking water limit for pesticides [20].

Microplastics. Microplastics are universal in the environment and have been detected in a broad range of concentrations in marine water, wastewater, fresh water, food, air, and drinking water, both bottled and tap water. Potential hazards associated with microplastics come in three forms: physical particles, chemicals, and microbial pathogens as part of biofilms. Limited evidence suggests that critical sources of microplastic pollution in freshwater sources are terrestrial runoff and wastewater effluent. However, optimised wastewater (and drinking water) treatment can effectively remove most microplastics from the effluent. For the significant proportion of the population that is not covered by adequate sewage treatment, microbial pathogens and other chemicals will be a more meaningful human health concern than

Challenges associated with identifying and treating emerging contaminants

Limitation in knowledge. Limited knowledge is available on the effects of CECs at broader ecological scales [57]. Technical expertise for identifying and quantifying emerging contaminants of water and wastewater is limited. Indeed, the actual environmental levels of many CECs are lacking; for some pollutants, measurement technologies are still not adequate and analytical procedures are not standardised. Moreover, the processes and interactions with biological systems that drive toxic effects are poorly understood, and available data are sometimes contradictory.

Complexity of mixtures of ECs in the aquatic environment. High concentrations and low removal of some ECs in STPs are of concern and lead to their widespread distribution in the aqueous envi-

ronment [6] as persistent or "pseudo-persistent" contaminants [14], forming complex mixtures. Contaminants of emerging concern are widely used and continuously introduced into the environment. In addition, some CECs are designed to exert biological effects; thus, they are expected to induce unwanted impacts on nontarget organisms. Complex mixtures of ECs are distributed in surface waters worldwide in heavily impacted and pristine environments, and nontarget organisms are exposed to these mixtures throughout their lives [49].

Sublethal/residual effects of ECs on aquatic organisms. The literature on the acute toxic effects of ECs on aquatic organisms contains available information [21]; however, a broader understanding of sublethal effects on a wide range of marine organisms is necessary. For most ECs, acute toxic effects occur at concentrations in the mg L⁻¹ range [21], whereas concentrations detected in the environment typically range from the ng L⁻¹ to µg L⁻¹ level [28]. Furthermore, environmental exposure to ECs occurs at relatively low concentrations chronically over long periods [22]. Exposure to low concentrations of ECs may not cause overt toxicity but rather subtle changes in the organisms' health and physiology (e.g., behaviour). These subtle changes can potentially cause adverse ecological outcomes regarding population levels and biodiversity, altering the normal function of hormones and resulting in various health effects, including behavioural effects.

Integration of multiple stressors with ECs exposure in aquatic systems. Aquatic organisms in waters both point (e.g., STP effluent) and nonpoint (e.g., agricultural and stormwater runoff) sources of ECs, thereby encountering multiple stressors [19]. These stressors include exposure to complex contaminants and changing environmental conditions such as rising temperatures, altered stream flows, increased radiation, varying pH, low oxygen concentrations, turbidity, salinity, diseases, and parasites. Consideration of the combined effects of multiple stressors is needed to understand the full extent of ECs' impacts on aquatic food webs.

Green infrastructure

Recovering resources from wastewater and safe reuse involves extracting valuable resources from wastewater, such as energy, nutrients, and water. These resources can be retrieved through

various processes, such as anaerobic digestion, membrane filtration, and nutrient recovery. The green infrastructure of wastewater involves the treatment of sewage to a level where it is cost-effective in terms of operational/energy demand and has high effluent quality for safe re-usage in areas such as agriculture, even as drinking water.

Examples of Green Infrastructure. The attempts to improve sustainability by securing societal demands without causing further damage to or depletion of natural resources led to the investigation of green infrastructure. The most popular green solution for sustainable water management is a technology collectively referred to as bio-augmentation, which involves using bacteria to remove nutrients from wastewater, coagulation, and stabilised bio-solids to destroy pathogens. This technology includes some of the established biological processes:

Anaerobic Processes provide wastewater treatment by injecting air into a tank, allowing aerobic bacteria to treat the wastewater.

Anaerobic Processes refer to life or a process that occurs without free oxygen.

Membrane Biofilm Processes: to further stabilise oxygen-demanding substances in the wastewater for advanced filtration, ion exchange, and reverse osmosis. These systems combine biological, secondary, and tertiary wastewater treatment into a single process. Its goal is to lower the carbon footprint associated with sludge sewage treatment. It employs a high amount of organic microbial removal and nutrient removal.

Electrodialysis Reversal - here, desalination is employed in which electricity is applied to electrodes to separate salt and other particles. It is self-cleaning and, therefore, ideal for murky wastewater. Electrodialysis reversal records one of the best recovery rates in water treatment.

Denitrification is the reduction of nitrite to nitrogen gas, and bacteria carry it out under anoxic conditions in wastewater treatment tanks. The bacteria use the nitrate for energy, releasing nitrogen gas. Nitrogen gas, a principal constituent of air, is released into the atmosphere.

Ultrafiltration: This is a widely employed solution when water is treated for drinking purposes. It employs membrane filtration to separate particles from liquid or gas mixtures by using pressure. Water viruses, bacteria, protozoa, and other

pathogens are effectively removed because it is used for human consumption.

These technologies aim to increase treatment capacity using non-toxic processes, clean energy sources, and environmental monitoring to reduce or eliminate the adverse effects of human activities on water and wastewater treatment. Hence, it lowers the carbon footprint of the environment. Consequently, bio-augmentation stabilises biological processes that would otherwise be unsustainable at the solid retention time (SRT) allowed by the available reactor volume.

Conventional wastewater treatment processes are expensive, complex, energy-consuming, and costly. The green solutions for sustainable water management are new wastewater treatment technologies, such as bioreactors, biological organisms, and bio-filtration by sewage treatment technology, which is more energy efficient and less polluting than the former. Green energy solutions may purify water without generating dangerous compounds or poisonous by-products. Furthermore, these green methods can assist sewage treatment, water management technology, and waste management. One notable benefit of using these biological methods is the reusability of the end products. They serve as fertilisers in agricultural operations.

Although the aerobic technique has shown a high success rate in terms of industrial application, there are some drawbacks, such as high capital costs and operational costs (especially for energy for pumps or aerators), cite considerations governed by the availability of metabolically competent microbial communities, proper environmental growth factors, and optimum quantities of nutrients and pollutants (Green Municipal Fund (GMF), 2011). Meanwhile, for anaerobic treatment, wastes generated from the treated water go in for post-treatment, which sometimes does not meet standards, causing odour generation and clogging of the membrane. Not all substances can be completely degraded within a short time, and there are concerns that the by-products of bio-degradation will be more dangerous than the primary contaminant. Finally, Up-scaling some biological technologies from pilot-scale to commercial operations is still challenging because physical Techniques are subject to specialisation.

Case studies of successfully implementing green infrastructure in water and wastewater treatment

Case 1. Water Treatment Facility Upgrade in Cranbrook. In 2013, the city of Cranbrook, British Columbia, Canada, upgraded its wastewater treatment system with a new storage pond, two new disinfection facilities including a UV disinfection system (< 1 CFU/100 ml), an aerated lagoon with an increased on-site storage and permanent outfall structure on the Kootenay River [10]. The \$29 million project included retrofits to modernise agricultural irrigation system that reuses wastewater, Fine bubble aeration, and UV disinfection to reduce the amount of total suspended solids (TSS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), and phosphorus levels and improve the quality of wastewater. The upgraded system provides tertiary treatment to a population of about 19,319 and can serve 40,000 for not less than 20 years. The system uses a low-tech approach appropriate for a municipality bordering on agricultural lands. It uses reclaimed water to enhance agrarian production [31].

Case 2. Energy-efficient upgrades reduce operating costs at the Wetaskiwin wastewater treatment plant. The City of Wetaskiwin, Alberta, Canada, modified its aerated lagoon system to improve the facility's reliability, performance, and capacity and ensure that it meets provincial environmental standards for water treatment. The city replaced the facility's coarse bubble aeration equipment with fine bubble aeration technology. This created a more effective and efficient treatment method and reduced energy costs. Excess sludge from the lagoon is now used as a source of nutrients for surrounding agricultural activities. More efficient blowers and an improved operating system have lowered operating costs. Eliminating the desludging process in the lagoon resulted in lower maintenance costs [50]. Generally, the energy-efficient upgrades were done to reduce operating costs at the Wetaskiwin wastewater treatment plant. After project completion, the city embarked on a public education campaign to inform residents of water conservation and energy efficiency benefits [50].

Some of the benefits enjoyed by these cities include:

Environmental benefits: 1) Lower energy usage; 2) Reduced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions; 3) Reduced energy consumptions; 4) Improved wastewater and groundwater quality; 5) Reduced water consumption; 6) Elimination of

chemical residuals; 7) Ecosystem protection; 8) Reduced odour levels [36]; 9) Decreased soil erosion.

Social benefits: 1) Improved public health; 2) Increased opportunities for recreational and physical activity; 3) Increased level of service to the community; 4) Increased civic pride; 5) Public education and awareness; 6) Municipality recognised for leadership in sustainability [36].

Economic benefits: 1) Reduced operation and maintenance costs; 2) Support for new economic development; 3) Support for residential growth; 4) Support for local business; 5) Local job creation; 6) Improved operational efficiency; 7) Increased potential to attract new companies and investors [36].

Case studies of unsuccessful projects. For a wastewater treatment plant to be effective, sustainable, and continuously operating suitable technology must have sufficient financial support, effective maintenance, and good regulation/governance. Some unsuccessful water and wastewater treatment projects in some developed countries are here.

Case 1. Flint, Michigan, USA. In 2014, Flint switched its water supply source from the Detroit water system to the Flint River. However, the water treatment plant in Flint was not equipped to handle the new water source, leading to significant examples of lead and other contaminants in the water supply. The situation was not fully addressed until 2016 and has had long-lasting health impacts on the community [16, 38].

Case 2. Chennai, India. 2019 Chennai experienced a severe water crisis due to various factors, including drought and poor water management practices. The city's water treatment facilities could not meet the demand for clean water, leading to widespread water shortages and public health concerns [51].

Case 3. Harare, Zimbabwe. In 2008, a cholera outbreak in Harare was linked to the city's water and sanitation infrastructure failure. The city's ageing water treatment plants could not adequately treat the water supply, leading to widespread contamination and illness [9, 11].

Case 4. Sao Paulo, Brazil. In 2014, the city of Sao Paulo experienced a severe drought, leading to water shortages and the implementation of water rationing measures. The city's ageing water treatment infrastructure could not keep up with

the demand for clean water, leading to public health concerns and environmental impacts. Also, Guanabara Bay in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, is heavily polluted due to untreated sewage being dumped into the bay. The Brazilian government has invested heavily in wastewater treatment plants, but the infrastructure is insufficient to meet the demands of the growing population. As a result, the water quality in the bay remains poor, posing a significant health risk to residents and visitors [41].

Case 5. China's Huai River. In the 1980s, China implemented a massive water treatment project for the heavily polluted Huai River. However, the project failed to meet its targets due to a lack of proper planning and management. The water quality in the Huai River continued to deteriorate, and the pollution problems became more severe [58].

Among many factors that could lead to the failure of water treatment plants, improper planning, insufficient finance on operation and maintenance (O&M) and ineffective governance structure top the list of factors that could impede the continued process of treatment plants [47]. For instance, the Ganges River is considered sacred in India and is also one of the most polluted rivers in the world. Despite several government initiatives and investments in water treatment technologies, the pollution levels in the Ganges River have not improved significantly. The main reasons for this failure include a lack of proper implementation, a weak regulatory framework, and insufficient funding [13]. Here are some critical lessons that can be learned from the shortcomings of water and wastewater treatment technologies in various countries.

1. Proper planning is crucial for the success of water and wastewater treatment projects. This includes conducting thorough assessments of local conditions, identifying potential challenges, and developing comprehensive plans to address them [10].

2. Adequate funding is essential for successfully implementing and maintaining water and wastewater treatment projects. Governments and other stakeholders must ensure sufficient funding is allocated to these projects to ensure their success [48].

3. Solid regulations and enforcement mechanisms ensure that industries and individuals comply with environmental laws and standards.

This includes penalties for non-compliance, inspections, and monitoring [32].

4. Implementing adequate water and wastewater treatment projects requires skilled personnel, proper management, and effective governance structures. Governments and other stakeholders must ensure the necessary resources and systems are in place to support successful implementation [45].

5. Public awareness and education are critical for the success of water and wastewater treatment projects. Governments and other stakeholders must engage with communities to raise awareness of the importance of clean water and proper wastewater management and to encourage responsible practices [49].

6. Collaboration between governments, industry, civil society organisations, and other stakeholders is crucial to addressing the complex challenges of water and wastewater treatment. Effective teamwork can help ensure that resources are used efficiently and that projects are implemented successfully. By learning from the failures of water and wastewater treatment technologies in various countries and applying these lessons, governments and other stakeholders can work towards more effective and sustainable water and wastewater management systems that benefit both people and the environment [26, 42].

By learning from the failures of water and wastewater treatment technologies in various countries and applying these lessons, governments and other stakeholders can work towards more effective and sustainable water and wastewater management systems that benefit both people and the environment.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this comprehensive research review article has thoroughly examined the multifaceted challenges, innovative solutions, and valuable lessons in sustainable water and wastewater management. Drawing insights from various research works, we have navigated the intricate landscape of global water resource issues. We began by acknowledging the pressing challenges of population growth, climate change, pollution, and emerging contaminants. These challenges demand proactive measures, including robust public awareness campaigns, effective

waste disposal management, and prudent water conservation practices. A holistic approach is essential in safeguarding the quality and quantity of this precious resource.

Our exploration of green infrastructure in wastewater treatment has showcased various innovative technologies, such as anaerobic digestion, membrane filtration, and nutrient recovery. The success stories from case studies attest to the potential benefits, ranging from environmental preservation to economic growth. These green solutions exemplify the paradigm shift towards sustainable and efficient wastewater treatment. In parallel, we have dissected instances of unsuccessful water and wastewater treatment projects, unravelling the complex interplay of factors leading to their failures. These case studies serve as cautionary tales, highlighting the significance of proper planning, adequate funding, strong regulations, effective governance, and public engagement in water resource management.

The path to sustainable water and wastewater management is site-specific, adaptive, and deeply intertwined with local socio-cultural and environmental conditions. Collaboration, education, and training are the cornerstones of success in this endeavour. Given the challenges ahead, our collective responsibility is to implement tailored strategies, reinforce governance structures, and empower communities to protect and preserve this invaluable resource. Through such concerted efforts, we can navigate the complex waters of sustainable water and wastewater management, ensuring a brighter, cleaner future for future generations.

Replicating successful projects holds significant benefits, yet it is paramount to tailor these initiatives to suit the specific conditions of the local context. A holistic, long-term strategy is imperative for achieving sustainable wastewater management, especially in the rural regions of developing countries. It is crucial to stress the need for wastewater management strategies to be inherently site-specific. These strategies should meticulously consider the target area's unique social, cultural, environmental, and economic conditions. The technology employed should be cost-effective, environmentally sustainable, and culturally accepted. The community must possess the means to fund the initial implementation and the ongoing operation, maintenance, and future

repairs of these wastewater treatment systems. One vital facet that should not be overlooked is the necessity of conducting comprehensive site evaluations when selecting appropriate wastewater treatment technologies. Establishing clear-cut guidelines for selecting small community wastewater treatment systems can considerably streamline the decision-making process. This paves the way for efficient and informed choices. However, the success of wastewater management extends beyond mere technology. It necessitates centralised management of decentralised wastewater treatment systems, ensuring seamless integration into the broader community infrastructure.

Moreover, providing the local populace with access to essential resources, education, and pertinent information is pivotal in empowering them to address environmental concerns related to wastewater actively. Another indispensable aspect is investing in training programs for municipal employees. These programs equip them with the skills to effectively operate and maintain wastewater treatment equipment and facilities.

To further enhance the efficacy of wastewater management strategies, it is advisable to include a recommendation for fostering inter-sectoral collaboration. Collaboration between various government departments, non-governmental organisations, and community-based entities can harness synergies that lead to more sustainable outcomes. In addition to the recommendations above, it is imperative to highlight the significance of regular monitoring and evaluation. Implementing robust mechanisms for tracking the performance of wastewater treatment systems over time is essential. This data-driven approach identifies issues early and informs necessary adjustments to ensure the system's long-term sustainability. A new recommendation surfaces: Promote inter-sectoral collaboration among governmental bodies, non-governmental organisations, and community-based entities involved in wastewater management. By coordinating efforts across sectors, a comprehensive and unified approach can be realised, furthering the cause of sustainable wastewater management.

This comprehensive exploration of green infrastructure in wastewater treatment emphasises

the essential shift towards sustainable and efficient wastewater treatment in this epoch. It underscores the potential benefits, including environmental preservation and economic growth. Furthermore, carefully examining successful and unsuccessful case studies provides valuable insights into the factors that can lead to triumph or failure in water and wastewater management projects.

The article underscores the importance of site-specific, adaptive strategies in sustainable water and wastewater management. The site-specific approach is recommended to cater to different regions' unique socio-cultural and environmental conditions. The acknowledgement of technology as a critical component is paired with the crucial aspect of community ownership, ensuring that the local populace can initiate and sustain these vital systems over time.

The call for comprehensive site evaluations when selecting wastewater treatment technologies is a particularly pragmatic recommendation, which can significantly simplify decision-making processes. Furthermore, the need for centralised management of decentralised systems and community empowerment through access to resources and education is aptly highlighted. The emphasis on training programs for municipal employees exemplifies a holistic approach to successful wastewater management.

The suggestion of fostering inter-sectoral collaboration is a forward-thinking recommendation that recognises the potential for synergy when government departments, NGOs, and community-based organisations work together. Robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms acknowledge wastewater treatment systems' dynamic nature and the need for data-driven decision-making for long-term sustainability.

This paper guides policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in water resource management, offering practical recommendations rooted in real-world case studies and an understanding of the complexities involved. It reinforces the collective responsibility to protect and preserve our invaluable water resources for future generations, making it a significant addition to sustainable water and wastewater management.

REFERENCES

1. Analouei, R., Taheriyoun, M., & Amin, M. T. (2022). Dynamic Failure Risk Assessment of Wastewater Treatment and Reclamation Plant: An Industrial Case Study. *Safety*, 8(4), 79. doi: [10.3390/safety8040079](https://doi.org/10.3390/safety8040079)
2. Attari, S. Z. (2014). Perceptions of water use. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(14), 5129–5134. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1316402111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316402111)
3. Bakir, H. A. (2001). Sustainable wastewater management for small communities in the Middle East and North Africa. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 61(4), 319–328. doi: [10.1006/jema.2000.0414](https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.2000.0414)
4. Büttner, O., Jawitz, J. W., Birk, S., & Borchardt, D. (2022). Why wastewater treatment fails to protect stream ecosystems in Europe. *Water Research*, 217, 118382. doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2022.118382](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118382)
5. Caliman, F. A., & Gavrilescu, M. (2009). Pharmaceuticals, Personal Care Products and Endocrine Disrupting Agents in the Environment – A Review. *CLEAN – Soil, Air, Water*, 37(4–5), 277–303. doi: [10.1002/clen.200900038](https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.200900038)
6. Calisto, V., & Esteves, V. I. (2009). Psychiatric pharmaceuticals in the environment. *Chemosphere*, 77(10), 1257–1274. doi: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.09.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.09.021)
7. Castiglioni, S., Zuccato, E., Chiabrando, C., Fanelli, R., & Bagnati, R. (2008). Mass spectrometric analysis of illicit drugs in wastewater and surface water. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*, 27(4), 378–394. doi: [10.1002/mas.20168](https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.20168)
8. Chandrappa, R., & Das, D. B. (2014). *Sustainable Water Engineering*. doi: [10.1002/9781118541036](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118541036)
9. Chirisa, I., Nyamadzawo, L., Bandaiko, E., & Mutsindikwa, N. (2015). The 2008/2009 cholera outbreak in Harare, Zimbabwe: case of failure in urban environmental health and planning. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 30(2). doi: [10.1515/reveh-2014-0075](https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2014-0075)
10. Cossio, C., Norrman, J., McConville, J., Mercado, A., & Rauch, S. (2020). Indicators for sustainability assessment of small-scale wastewater treatment plants in low and lower-middle income countries. *Environmental and Sustainability Indicators*, 6, 100028. doi: [10.1016/j.indic.2020.100028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2020.100028)
11. Cuneo, N., Sollom, R., & Beyrer, C. (2017). *The Cholera Epidemic in Zimbabwe, 2008–2009: A Review and Critique of the Evidence*. Retrieved from https://reliefweb.int/report/zimbabwe/cholera-epidemic-zimbabwe-2008-2009-review-and-critique-evidence?gad_source=1&gclid=CjwKCAiAgeeqBhBAEiwAoDDhn6I759mdEtdztOoLXgAFWnHhaPd13VhtgvNpS2gQ4gNbpWo64vCq5BoCkRMQAvD_BwE
12. Daigger, G. T. (2008). *New Approaches and Technologies for Wastewater Management*. *The Bridge*, 38(3).
13. Das, P., & Tamminga, K. R. (2012). The Ganges and the GAP: An Assessment of Efforts to Clean a Sacred River. *Sustainability*, 4(8), 1647–1668. doi: [10.3390/su4081647](https://doi.org/10.3390/su4081647)
14. Daughton, C. G. (2005). "Emerging" chemicals as pollutants in the environment: A 21st Century perspective. *Renewable Resources Journal*, 23(4), 6–23.
15. Delgado, J. A., Groffman, P. M., Nearing, M. A., Goddard, T., Reicosky, D., Lal, R., Kitchen, N. R., Rice, C. W., Towery, D., & Salon, P. (2011). Conservation practices to mitigate and adapt to climate change. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 66(4), 118A–129A. doi: [10.2489/jswc.66.4.118a](https://doi.org/10.2489/jswc.66.4.118a)
16. Denchak, M. (2018, November 8). *Flint Water Crisis: Everything You Need to Know*. Retrieved from <https://www.nrdc.org/stories/flint-water-crisis-everything-you-need-know#summary>
17. DeOreo, William B. (2016). *Residential End Uses of Water* (Version 2). Retrieved from https://www.circleofblue.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/WRF_REU2016.pdf

18. Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs. (2019). *Measures to reduce personal water use*. Retrieved from <https://consult.defra.gov.uk/water/measures-to-reduce-personal-water-use>
19. Eggen, R. I. L., Behra, R., Burkhardt-Holm, P., Escher, B. I., & Schweigert, N. (2004). Peer Reviewed: Challenges in Ecotoxicology. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38(3), 58A-64A. doi: 10.1021/es040349c
20. Environment Agency. (2010). *The Environment Agency's position on metaldehyde*. Available from http://www.environmentagency.gov.uk/static/documents/Business/Metaldehyde_position_statement.doc-27.1.10.pdf
21. Fent, K., Weston, A., & Caminada, D. (2006). Ecotoxicology of human pharmaceuticals. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 76(2), 122–159. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2005.09.009
22. Glassmeyer, S. T., Hinchey, E. K., Boehme, S. E., Daughton, C. G., Ruhoy, I. S., Conerly, O., Daniels, R. L., Lauer, L., McCarthy, M., Nettesheim, T. G., Sykes, K., & Thompson, V. G. (2009). Disposal practices for unwanted residential medications in the United States. *Environment International*, 35(3), 566–572. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2008.10.007
23. Heberer, T. (2002). Occurrence, fate, and removal of pharmaceutical residues in the aquatic environment: a review of recent research data. *Toxicology Letters*, 131(1–2), 5–17. doi: 10.1016/s0378-4274(02)00041-3
24. Hermoso, V., Abell, R., Linke, S., & Boon, P. (2016). The role of protected areas for freshwater biodiversity conservation: challenges and opportunities in a rapidly changing world. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 26(S1), 3–11. doi: 10.1002/aqc.2681
25. Idris-Nda, A., Aliyu, H. K., Dalil, M. (2013). *The challenges of domestic management in Nigeria: A case study of Minna, central Nigeria*. *International Journal of Development and Sustainability*, 2(2), 1169–1182.
26. Jenkins, B., Gilbert, R., & Nelson, J. (2017). *The 2030 Water Resources Group: Collaboration and Country Leadership to Strengthen Water Security*. Retrieved from <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/2030%20WRG%20final.pdf>
27. Jimenez, B., & Asano, T. (2015). Water Reuse: An International Survey of current practice, issues and needs. *Water Intelligence Online*, 7(0), 9781780401881–9781780401881. doi: 10.2166/9781780401881
28. Kolpin, D. W., Furlong, E. T., Meyer, M. T., Thurman, E. M., Zaugg, S. D., Barber, L. B., & Buxton, H. T. (2002). Pharmaceuticals, Hormones, and Other Organic Wastewater Contaminants in U.S. Streams, 1999–2000: A National Reconnaissance. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 36(6), 1202–1211. doi: 10.1021/es011055j
29. Kurunthachalam, S. K. (2014). Water Conservation and Sustainability: An Utmost Importance. *Journal of Waste Water Treatment & Analysis*, 05(02). doi: 10.4172/2157-7587.1000e117
30. Lakai, L. I. (2020). Public water and waste management in Nigeria: legal framework, obstacles and challenges. *KAS African Law Study Library - Librairie Africaine d'Etudes Juridiques*, 7(4), 631–641. doi: 10.5771/2363-6262-2020-4-631
31. Lapworth, D. J., Baran, N., Stuart, M. E., & Ward, R. S. (2012). Emerging organic contaminants in groundwater: A review of sources, fate and occurrence. *Environmental Pollution*, 163, 287–303. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.034
32. Law of Nigeria. (2007). *National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act*. Retrieved from <https://lawsfnigeria.placng.org/laws/nesrea.pdf>
33. Let'stute. (2019, March 4). *What is waste Management?* Retrieved from <https://youtu.be/K6ppCC3lboU>

34. Liang, X., & van Dijk, M. P. (2010). Financial and economic feasibility of decentralised wastewater reuse systems in Beijing. *Water Science and Technology*, 61(8), 1965–1973. doi: [10.2166/wst.2010.105](https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2010.105)
35. Liang, X., & Yue, X. (2021). Challenges facing the management of wastewater treatment systems in Chinese rural areas. *Water Science and Technology*, 84(6), 1518–1526. doi: [10.2166/wst.2021.332](https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2021.332)
36. Loos, R., Gawlik, B. M., Locoro, G., Rimaviciute, E., Contini, S., & Bidoglio, G. (2009). EU-wide survey of polar organic persistent pollutants in European river waters. *Environmental Pollution*, 157(2), 561–568. doi: [10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.020)
37. Massoud, M. A., Tarhini, A., & Nasr, J. A. (2009). Decentralised approaches to wastewater treatment and management: Applicability in developing countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(1), 652–659. doi: [10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.07.001)
38. Masten, S. J., Davies, S. H., & Mcelmurry, S. P. (2016). Flint Water Crisis: What Happened and Why? *Journal AWWA*, 108(12), 22–34. doi: [10.5942/jawwa.2016.108.0195](https://doi.org/10.5942/jawwa.2016.108.0195)
39. Mayer, P. W., DeOreo, W. B. (1999). *Residential End Uses of Water*. Retrieved from <https://irp.cdn-website.com/bd62ee4a/files/uploaded/WRF%20%281999%29%20Residential%20End%20Uses%20of%20Water.pdf>
40. McGowan, J. (2013). *Case study: Facility upgrades help Cranbrook enhance agricultural production*. Retrieved March 26, 2023, from <https://greenmunicipalfund.ca/case-studies/case-study-facility-upgrades-help-cranbrook-enhance-agricultural-production>
41. Millington, N. (2018). Producing water scarcity in São Paulo, Brazil: The 2014-2015 water crisis and the binding politics of infrastructure. *Political Geography*, 65, 26–34. doi: [10.1016/j.polgeo.2018.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2018.04.007)
42. Mustafa, S., Jamil, K., Zhang, L., & Girmay, M. B. (2022). Does Public Awareness Matter to Achieve the UN's Sustainable Development Goal 6: Clean Water for Everyone? *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2022, 1–12. doi: [10.1155/2022/8445890](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8445890)
43. Neoh, C. H., Noor, Z. Z., Mutamim, N. S. A., & Lim, C. K. (2016). Green technology in wastewater treatment technologies: Integration of membrane bioreactor with various wastewater treatment systems. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 283, 582–594. doi: [10.1016/j.cej.2015.07.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.07.060)
44. Northwest River Forecast Center (n. d.). *Description of the Hydrologic Cycle*. Retrieved August 10, 2023, from https://www.nwrfc.noaa.gov/info/water_cycle/hydrology.cgi
45. Obaideen, K., Shehata, N., Sayed, E. T., Abdelkareem, M. A., Mahmoud, M. S., & Olabi, A. G. (2022). The role of wastewater treatment in achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) and sustainability guideline. *Energy Nexus*, 7, 100112. doi: [10.1016/j.nexus.2022.100112](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexus.2022.100112)
46. Oelofse, S. H. H., Godfrey, L. (2008). *Defining waste in South Africa: Moving beyond the age of 'waste': science policy*. *South African Journal of Science of Science*, 104(7), 242–246.
47. Onyango, L. A., Quinn, C., Tng, K. H., Wood, J. G., & Leslie, G. (2015). A Study of Failure Events in Drinking Water Systems as a Basis for Comparison and Evaluation of the Efficacy of Potable Reuse Schemes. *Environmental Health Insights*, 9(3), EHIS31749. doi: [10.4137/ehi.s31749](https://doi.org/10.4137/ehi.s31749)
48. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2009). *Strategic Financial Planning for Water Supply and Sanitation*. Retrieved from <https://www.oecd.org/env/resources/43949580.pdf>
49. Pal, A., Gin, K. Y.-H., Lin, A. Y.-C., & Reinhard, M. (2010). Impacts of emerging organic contaminants on freshwater resources: Review of recent occurrences, sources, fate and effects. *Science of The Total Environment*, 408(24), 6062–6069. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.09.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.09.026)
50. Pullishy, P. (2013). *Case study: Energy-efficient upgrades reduce operating costs at Wetaskiwin Wastewater Treatment Plant*. Retrieved March 26, 2023, from

<https://greenmunicipalfund.ca/case-studies/case-study-energy-efficient-upgrades-reduce-operating-costs-wetaskiwin-wastewater>

51. Ravikumar, J. (2022, November 22). *Rethinking wastewater: Chennai's journey towards water security*. Retrieved from <https://blogs.worldbank.org/water/rethinking-wastewater-chennai-journey-towards-water-security>
52. The Groundwater Foundation. (2023). *Potential threats to groundwater*. Retrieved from <https://groundwater.org>
53. TVC News Nigeria. (2019, July 26). *Waste management, an environmental challenge in Nigeria*. Retrieved June 10, 2023, from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z3720xC2MCM&ab_channel=TVCNewsNigeria
54. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2004, September). *Primer for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Systems*. Retrieved from <https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/primer.pdf>
55. United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2019, February). *Emerging technologies for wastewater treatment and in-plant wet weather management*. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-02/documents/emerging-tech-wastewater-treatment-management.pdf>
56. Vargas, C., Niu, Y., & Pillai, S. (2012). The Cranbrook Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade: An Example of Sustainability. *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation, 2012*(13), 3544–3556. doi: [10.2175/193864712811726806](https://doi.org/10.2175/193864712811726806)
57. Windsor, F. M., Ormerod, S. J., & Tyler, C. R. (2017). Endocrine disruption in aquatic systems: up-scaling research to address ecological consequences. *Biological Reviews, 93*(1), 626–641. doi: [10.1111/brv.12360](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12360)
58. Xia, J., Zhang, Y.-Y., Zhan, C., & Ye, A. Z. (2011). Water Quality Management in China: The Case of the Huai River Basin. *International Journal of Water Resources Development, 27*(1), 167–180. doi: [10.1080/07900627.2010.531453](https://doi.org/10.1080/07900627.2010.531453)
59. Zhang, Y., Geißen, S.-U., & Gal, C. (2008). Carbamazepine and diclofenac: Removal in wastewater treatment plants and occurrence in water bodies. *Chemosphere, 73*(8), 1151–1161. doi: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.07.086](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.07.086)